

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/068,074	ROGERS ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Cephia D. Toomer	1714	

All Participants:
Status of Application: _____

 (1) Cephia D. Toomer.

(3) _____.

 (2) Philip Lane.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 4 January 2005
Time: _____

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

9 and 13

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The examiner informed Mr. Lane that the specification did not support graphitizing the carbonized foam for greater than about one hour. Mr. Lane indicated that the examiner could amend claims 9 and 13 to correct the time to less than about one hour.

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)